

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.sov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/745,170	12/20/2000	Emil M. Georgiev	08CY05920	9300
	7590 03/22/2002			
Kenneth S. Wheelock			EXAMINER	
GE Plastics One Plastics Avenue			WOODWARD, ANA LUCRECIA	
Pittsfield, MA	01201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1711	

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

		·	H
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover she	et beneath the correspondence address	<b>—</b>
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING D	ATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a result of the period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defaulting the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by starting the period for reply will.</li> </ul>	reply within the statutory n lt, expire SIX (6) MONTHS	ninimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely S from the mailing date of this communication .	
Status  Responsive to communication(s) filed on	20/00		. <b>.</b>
<ul> <li>☐ This action is FINAL.</li> <li>☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance excep accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19</li> </ul>	ot for formal matters, <b>p</b>		
Disposition of Claims	33 O.D. 1 1, 433 O.G.	. 213.	
/ //		is/are pending in the application	<b>).</b>
Of the above claim(s)			
□ Claim(s)			
□ Claim(s)		is/are rejected.	
Clarim(s)	·		
□ Claim(s)		are subject to restriction or electron requirement.	tion
Application Papers		течинети.	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi	ng Review, PTO-948.		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🛮 approv	red 🗆 disapproved.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obje	cted to by the Examin	ner.	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)			
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority to light or a claim foreign</li></ul>	f the priority documen	ats have been	
received in this national stage application from the In			
*Certified copies not received:		•	
Attach wo and/a)			
Attachment(s)			
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s)	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
Attachment(s)  ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper ☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	No(s)	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, P	TO-152

**Office Action Summary** 

Art Unit: 1711

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1 and 2, drawn to a composition of two components, classified in class
     525, subclass various.
  - II. Claim 3, drawn to a composition of three components, classified in class 525, subclass 66.
  - III. Claims 4 and 5, drawn to a composition of three components, classified in class524, subclass various.
  - IV. Claims 6 and 7, drawn to a composition of four components, classified in class524, subclass various
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I with each of II and III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a molding or coating composition in and of itself without the presence of additional ingredients which would react in-situ to form mutually exclusive final products and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that

Art Unit: 1711

the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- Inventions III and IV are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a coating or molding composition in and of itself without the presence of additional ingredients which would react in-situ to form a mutually exclusive final product and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Claims 1-10 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising the various materials defining component (a). The election of an ultimate species definitive of

Art Unit: 1711

component (a) is requested. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ana L. Woodward whose telephone number is (703) 308-2401. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on (703) 308-2462. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1711

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-8183.

Ana L. Woodward
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1711

AW March 21, 2002